

ON TWO-DIMENSIONAL NONLOCAL VENTTSEL' PROBLEMS IN PIECEWISE SMOOTH DOMAINS

Simone Creo^{*}, Maria Rosaria Lancia^{*}, Alexander Nazarov[†], Paola Vernole[‡]

Abstract

We establish the regularity results for solutions of nonlocal Venttsel' problems in polygonal and piecewise smooth two-dimensional domains.

Keywords: Venttsel' problems, nonlocal operators, piecewise smooth domains.

AMS Subject Classification: 35J25, 35R02, 35B45, 35B65.

Introduction

In this paper we investigate an elliptic nonlocal Venttsel' problem for the Laplace operator in a bounded polygonal domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.

Lately Venttsel' problems in irregular domains (for example, in domains with prefractal or fractal boundary) have been widely investigated, see e.g. [12] and [10] and the references listed in. In [12] the reader can also find motivations for the study of such problems.

There is a huge literature on *local* linear and quasi-linear Venttsel' problems (see e.g. [1],

^{*}Dipartimento di Scienze di Base e Applicate per l'Ingegneria, Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza" Via A. Scarpa 16, 00161 Roma, Italy. E-mail: simone.creo@sbai.uniroma1.it, mariarosaria.lancia@sbai.uniroma1.it

[†]St. Petersburg Department of Steklov Mathematical Institute, Fontanka 27, 191023 St. Petersburg, Russia, and St. Petersburg State University, Universitetskii pr. 28, 198504 St. Petersburg, Russia. E-mail: al.il.nazarov@gmail.com

[‡]Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza", P.zale Aldo Moro 2, 00185 Roma, Italy. E-mail: vernole@mat.uniroma1.it

[2], [14], [3], [7], [20], [17] and the references listed in). As to the nonlocal case, among the others we refer to [11], [18], [21] and the references listed in.

Our aim in this paper is to study the regularity in weighted Sobolev spaces of the weak solution of a nonlocal Venttsel' problem in a polygonal domain. These results will be crucial to obtain optimal a priori error estimates for the numerical approximation of the problem at hand; to this regard, for the local case, see [5] and [6].

We first point out that a general nonlocal term appears also in the pioneering original paper by Venttsel' [19]. Here we consider a nonlocal term which can be regarded as a version of the fractional Laplace operator $(-\Delta)^s$, for $0 < s < 1$, on the boundary. The presence of this term could, in principle, deteriorate the regularity of the solution on the boundary. We prove that this is not the case, and that the weak solution of the nonlocal Venttsel' problem belongs to $H^2(\partial\Omega)$, i.e. it has the same regularity as in the local case (see [5]).

It is well known that solutions of boundary value problems in piecewise smooth domains usually belong to weighted Sobolev spaces, where the weight is the distance from the set of vertices of the boundary (see e.g. [9] and [15]). In our case, the interplay between the boundary equation and the equation in the domain essentially influences the range of weight exponents, see (2.2).

We remark that the techniques used in the local case to prove the regularity on the boundary are very different from the ones used in this paper.

The obtained results are a starting point in studying the regularity of the solution of nonlocal Venttsel' problems in the case of domains with fractal boundary (for example of Koch-type domains).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we define the domain and the functional spaces which will appear in this paper and we state the problem. In Section 2 we prove a key a priori estimate for the solution. In Section 3 we give an existence and uniqueness result for weak and strong solutions of the nonlocal Venttsel' problem.

1 Statement of the problem

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a domain with polygonal boundary $\partial\Omega$ with vertices V_j , for $j = 1, \dots, N$. Namely, we suppose that $\partial\Omega$ is made by a finite number of segments, which form a finite number N of angles with opening α_j , and let us denote with α the opening of the largest angle in $\partial\Omega$.

In the following we denote with $L^2(\Omega)$ the Lebesgue space with respect to the Lebesgue




Figure 1: A possible example of domain Ω . In this case $N = 9$ and $\alpha = \alpha_7$.

measure dx on Ω , and with $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ the Lebesgue space on the boundary with respect to the arc length $d\ell$. By $H^s(\Omega)$, for $s \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote the standard Sobolev spaces. By $\mathcal{C}(\partial\Omega)$ we denote the set of continuous functions on $\partial\Omega$.

By $H^s(\partial\Omega)$, for $0 < s < 1$, we denote the Sobolev space on $\partial\Omega$ defined by local Lipschitz charts as in [16]. For $s \geq 1$, we define the Sobolev space $H^s(\partial\Omega)$ by using the characterization given by Brezzi-Gilardi in [4]:

$$H^s(\partial\Omega) = \{v \in \mathcal{C}(\partial\Omega) \mid v|_{\overset{\circ}{M}} \in H^s(\overset{\circ}{M})\},$$

where M denotes a side of $\partial\Omega$ and $\overset{\circ}{M}$ denotes the corresponding open segment (for the general case see Definition 2.27 in [4]).

We denote the trace of u on $\partial\Omega$ with $\gamma_0 u$. Sometimes we will use the same symbol u to denote the function itself and its trace $\gamma_0 u$. The interpretation will be left to the context.

We now recall the Friedrichs inequality, see [13, page 24] for more details.

Proposition 1.1. *Let $u \in H^1(\Omega)$. There exists a positive constant C depending on Ω such that*

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \right). \quad (1.1)$$

Let $r = r(x)$ be the distance from the set of vertices V_j . For $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, and $s = 1, 2, \dots$, we denote by $H_\gamma^s(\Omega)$ the Kondratev (or weighted Sobolev) space of functions for which the norm

$$\|u\|_{H_\gamma^s(\Omega)} = \left(\sum_{|k| \leq s} \int_{\Omega} r^{2(\gamma-s+|k|)} |D^k u(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

is finite, see [9]. For $s = 0$, this space evidently coincides with the weighted Lebesgue space $L_\gamma^2(\Omega)$. We also define, for $s > 0$ integer, the space $H_\gamma^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$ as the trace space of $H_\gamma^s(\Omega)$ equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{H_\gamma^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)} = \inf_{v=u \text{ on } \partial\Omega} \|v\|_{H_\gamma^s(\Omega)}.$$

We define the composite spaces

$$V^1(\Omega, \partial\Omega) := \{u \in H^1(\Omega) : \gamma_0 u \in H^1(\partial\Omega)\}$$

and

$$V_\sigma^2(\Omega, \partial\Omega) := \{u \in H^1(\Omega) : r^\sigma D^2 u \in L^2(\Omega), \gamma_0 u \in H^2(\partial\Omega)\}.$$

We consider the problem formally stated as

$$-\Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (1.2)$$

$$-\Delta_\ell u = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} - bu - \theta_s(u) + g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad (1.3)$$

where f and g are given functions, $\Delta_\ell = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \ell^2}$, ν the unit vector of exterior normal, $b \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ and we set $\theta_s: H^s(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-s}(\partial\Omega)$ as follows: for every $u, v \in H^s(\partial\Omega)$

$$\langle \theta_s(u), v \rangle = \iint_{\partial\Omega \times \partial\Omega} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{1+2s}} d\ell(x) d\ell(y),$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality pairing between $H^{-s}(\partial\Omega)$ and $H^s(\partial\Omega)$. We remark that the nonlocal term $\theta_s(\cdot)$ can be regarded as an analogue of the fractional Laplace operator $(-\Delta)^s$ on the boundary.

We now define the bilinear form $E(u, v)$ as follows:

$$E(u, v) = \int_\Omega \nabla u \nabla v dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \nabla_\ell u \nabla_\ell v d\ell + \int_{\partial\Omega} b u v d\ell + \langle \theta_s(u), v \rangle, \quad (1.4)$$

for every $u, v \in V^1(\Omega, \partial\Omega)$.

We consider the weak formulation of problem (1.2)-(1.3):

$$\text{Given } f \text{ and } g, \text{ find } u \in V^1(\Omega, \partial\Omega) \text{ such that } E(u, v) = \int_\Omega f v dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} g v d\ell \quad (1.5)$$

for every $v \in V^1(\Omega, \partial\Omega)$.

In what follows we denote by C all positive constants. The dependence of constants on some parameters is given in parentheses. We do not indicate the dependence of C on the geometry of Ω .

2 A priori estimates

Theorem 2.1. *Let $u \in V_\sigma^2(\Omega, \partial\Omega)$ be a solution of problem (1.2)-(1.3). Suppose that $s < 3/4$. Then there exists a positive constant $C = C(\sigma)$ such that*

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|r^\sigma D^2 u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|u\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \leq C(\sigma)(\|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 + \|r^\sigma f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|g\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2), \quad (2.1)$$

provided

$$1 - \frac{\pi}{\alpha} < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}, \quad \sigma \geq -\frac{1}{2} \quad (2.2)$$

(recall that α is the opening of the largest angle in $\partial\Omega$).

Proof. We use the so-called *Munchhausen trick*. We consider the right-hand side in (1.3) as known function. Then we easily have

$$\|u\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \leq C \left(\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 + \|\theta_s(u)\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 + \|g\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \right). \quad (2.3)$$

First we estimate $\|\theta_s(u)\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2$. Since $u \in H^2(\partial\Omega)$, it is sufficient to consider the local behavior of u near the vertices. Without loss of generality, we can assume that the vertex is located at the origin. We introduce a smooth cutoff function η and rectify $\partial\Omega$ near the origin. It is easy to see that $u\eta|_{\partial\Omega}$ becomes a function on \mathbb{R} which is the sum of a smooth function and a term $c|t|\tilde{\eta}(t)$ (here $\tilde{\eta}$ is a one-dimensional cutoff function near the origin).

It is well known that $c|t|\tilde{\eta}(t) \in H^\beta(\mathbb{R})$ for every $\beta < 3/2$. This implies that $\theta_s(u) \in H^{\beta-2s}(\partial\Omega)$ and

$$\|\theta_s(u)\|_{H^{\beta-2s}(\partial\Omega)}^2 \leq C\|u\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)}^2,$$

where C depends on β and s .

We fix $\beta \in (2s, 3/2)$. From the compact embedding of $H^{\beta-2s}(\partial\Omega)$ in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ we deduce that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $C(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\|\theta_s(u)\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \leq \varepsilon\|\theta_s(u)\|_{H^{\beta-2s}(\partial\Omega)}^2 + C(\varepsilon)\|\theta_s(u)\|_{H^{-s}(\partial\Omega)}^2,$$

see Lemma 6.1, Chapter 2 in [16]. Similarly, we have

$$\|\theta_s(u)\|_{H^{-s}(\partial\Omega)}^2 \leq C\|u\|_{H^s(\partial\Omega)}^2 \leq \varepsilon\|u\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 + C(\varepsilon)\|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2.$$

Therefore we obtain the following estimate using (2.3):

$$\|u\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \leq C \left(\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 + \|g\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 + \varepsilon\|u\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 + C(\varepsilon)\|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \right).$$

By choosing ε sufficiently small we obtain

$$\|u\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \leq C \left(\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 + \|g\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \right). \quad (2.4)$$

We now estimate $\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2$. We consider a smooth function U on $\bar{\Omega}$ which is linear in a neighborhood of the vertices of $\partial\Omega$ and such that $(u - U)(P) = \nabla_\ell(u - U)(P) = 0$ in every vertex P of $\partial\Omega$. Since D^2U vanishes in neighborhoods of vertices, without loss of generality we can assume that for every $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\|U\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|r^\gamma D^2U\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|U\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \leq C(\gamma)\|u\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)}^2. \quad (2.5)$$

If we consider the function $v = u - U$, from Hardy inequality applied on each segment of $\partial\Omega$ (see [8]) we obtain that $v \in H_{\gamma=0}^2(\partial\Omega)$. By rescaling we deduce $v \in H_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$, and

$$\|v\|_{H_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial\Omega)} \leq C\|u\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)}. \quad (2.6)$$

Now we consider v as the solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$-\Delta v = f + \Delta U \in L_\sigma^2(\Omega); \quad v|_{\partial\Omega} \in H_\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial\Omega) \quad (2.7)$$

(here we used the last restriction in (2.2)). From Theorem 3.1, Chapter 2 in [15] (with $l = 0$) it follows that $v \in H_\sigma^2(\Omega)$ if $|\sigma - 1| < \pi/\alpha$ (we recall that α is the opening of the largest angle in $\partial\Omega$). With regard to (2.5) and (2.6), this implies

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|r^\sigma D^2u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C(\sigma)(\|r^\sigma f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|u\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)}^2) \quad (2.8)$$

(to estimate the first term, we also used the property $\sigma \leq 1$ in (2.2)).

By rescaling, we deduce that $\nabla u \in L_{\sigma-1/2}^2(\partial\Omega)$ and

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L_{\sigma-1/2}^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \leq \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|r^\sigma D^2u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \quad (2.9)$$

We define a cutoff function η_δ such that

$$\eta_\delta(r) = 1 \quad \text{for } r > \delta, \quad \eta_\delta(r) = 0 \quad \text{for } r < \delta/2.$$

Now we introduce the following trace operator:

$$u \longrightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\partial\Omega} = \eta_\delta \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\partial\Omega} + (1 - \eta_\delta) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\partial\Omega} =: \mathcal{K}_1(\delta)u + \mathcal{K}_2(\delta)u.$$

The operator $\mathcal{K}_1(\delta): H_\sigma^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\partial\Omega)$ is evidently compact. Using (2.8), we obtain for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\|\mathcal{K}_1(\delta)u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(\|r^\sigma f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|u\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)}^2) + C(\varepsilon, \sigma, \delta)\|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2.$$

From (2.8) and (2.9) we deduce

$$\|\mathcal{K}_2(\delta)u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \leq C(\sigma)\delta^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}(\|r^\sigma f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|u\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)}^2).$$

By choosing $\delta(\sigma, \varepsilon)$ sufficiently small, we get

$$\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \leq \varepsilon(\|r^\sigma f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|u\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)}^2) + C(\varepsilon, \sigma)\|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2.$$

Substituting the above inequality into (2.4) we have

$$\|u\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \leq C \left(\varepsilon(\|r^\sigma f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|u\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)}^2) + C(\varepsilon, \sigma)\|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 + \|g\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \right).$$

By choosing ε sufficiently small we obtain

$$\|u\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \leq C \left(\|r^\sigma f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C(\sigma)\|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 + \|g\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \right). \quad (2.10)$$

Taking into account (2.8), we get (2.1). \square

3 Solvability of the Venttsel' problem

We begin with the existence and uniqueness of the weak solution.

By the Friedrichs inequality (see (1.1)), we equip $V^1(\Omega, \partial\Omega)$ with the equivalent Hilbertian norm

$$\|u\|_{V^1(\Omega, \partial\Omega)} = \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla_\ell u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $b \geq 0$ and $b \not\equiv 0$. Then the energy form $E[u] = E(u, u)$ generates an equivalent norm in $V^1(\Omega, \partial\Omega)$.*

Proof. Since $b \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ and

$$\langle \theta_s(u), u \rangle \leq C\|u\|_{H^s(\partial\Omega)}^2 \leq C\|u\|_{H^1(\partial\Omega)}^2,$$

we obtain that $E[u] \leq C\|u\|_{V^1(\Omega, \partial\Omega)}^2$. Then, since $\langle \theta_s(u), u \rangle \geq 0$, we have

$$E[u] \geq \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla_\ell u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2.$$

By the Poincaré inequality, $E[u]$ generates an equivalent norm on the subspace of functions in $V^1(\Omega, \partial\Omega)$ orthogonal to constants. Since the term $\int_{\partial\Omega} bu^2 d\ell$ does not degenerate on constants, the statement follows. \square

The following existence and uniqueness result holds.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, $g \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ and let b be as in Lemma 3.1. Then there exists a unique weak solution in $V^1(\Omega, \partial\Omega)$ of problem (1.5). Moreover*

$$\|u\|_{V^1(\Omega, \partial\Omega)} \leq C(\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}), \quad (3.1)$$

where C depends only on the coercivity constant of E .

We finally prove the desired regularity for the weak solution of the nonlocal Venttsel' problem.

Theorem 3.3. *Let σ be subject to condition (2.2). Suppose that b satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 3.1, $f \in L^2_\sigma(\Omega)$, $g \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$. Then the problem (1.2)-(1.3) has a unique solution $u \in V^2_\sigma(\Omega, \partial\Omega)$, and the following inequality holds*

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|r^\sigma D^2 u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|u\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \leq C(\|r^\sigma f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|g\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2), \quad (3.2)$$

where C depends on σ and the coercivity constant of E .

Proof. We introduce the set of operators $\mathcal{L}_\mu: V^2_\sigma(\Omega, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow L^2_\sigma(\Omega) \times L^2(\partial\Omega)$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu u := \left(-\Delta u, \left(-\Delta_\ell u + bu + \mu \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + \theta_s(u) \right) \right) \Big|_{\partial\Omega} \right).$$

We claim that the operator \mathcal{L}_0 is invertible. Indeed, it corresponds to the boundary value problem

$$-\Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad -\Delta_\ell u + bu = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Here the equation in Ω and the boundary condition are decoupled. So we can first solve the boundary equation and then use its solution as the Dirichlet datum for the equation in the domain. The estimates similar to Theorem 2.1 show that the solution belongs to $V^2_\sigma(\Omega, \partial\Omega)$ and inequality (3.2) holds. So the claim follows.

The estimates in Theorem 2.1 show that the operator

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu - \mathcal{L}_0: V^2_\sigma(\Omega, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow L^2_\sigma(\Omega) \times L^2(\partial\Omega); \quad \mathcal{L}_\mu u - \mathcal{L}_0 u = \mu \left(0, \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + \theta_s(u) \right)$$

is compact. Since $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{L}_1)$ is trivial by Corollary 3.2, the operator \mathcal{L}_1 is also invertible, and the proof is complete. \square

If Ω is a convex polygon, then $\alpha < \pi$. So we can put $\sigma = 0$ and obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.4. *Let Ω be a convex polygon. Suppose that b satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 3.1, $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, $g \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$. Then the problem (1.2)-(1.3) has a unique solution $u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^2(\partial\Omega)$, and the following inequality holds*

$$\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|u\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \leq C(\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|g\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2),$$

where C depends on the coercivity constant of E .

If Ω is not convex, then $\pi < \alpha < 2\pi$. In this case we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.5. *Let Ω be a non-convex polygon. Suppose that b satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 3.1, $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, $g \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$. Then a unique solution of the problem (1.2)-(1.3) admits the following decomposition:*

$$u(x) = \sum_{j:\alpha_j>\pi} c_j \chi(r_j) r_j^{\frac{\pi}{\alpha_j}} \sin(\pi\omega_j\alpha_j^{-1}) + w(x). \quad (3.3)$$

Here (r_j, ω_j) are local polar coordinates in a neighborhood of the angle with opening α_j , χ is a cutoff function near the origin, and $w \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^2(\partial\Omega)$. Moreover, the following inequality holds

$$\|w\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|w\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 + \sum_{j:\alpha_j>\pi} |c_j|^2 \leq C(\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|g\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2),$$

where C depends on the coercivity constant of E .

Proof. Following the lines of the proof of Theorem 2.1, we obtain the Dirichlet problem for $v = u - U$

$$-\Delta v \in L^2(\Omega); \quad v|_{\partial\Omega} \in H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$$

instead of (2.7). Theorem 3.4, Chapter 2 in [15] gives the representation (3.3) for v . Since U is smooth, the statement follows. \square

Remark 3.6. Without any sign condition on the coefficient b , the problem (1.2)-(1.3) is not necessarily solvable, but it has the Fredholm property.

Remark 3.7. All our results easily hold for an arbitrary piecewise smooth domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ without cusps.

Acknowledgements. S. C., M. R. L. and P. V. have been supported by the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM). A. N. was partially supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research (RFBR) grant 15-01-07650.

This paper was completed during the visit of A. N. to Rome in February 2017. He would like to thank Università di Roma "Sapienza" for the hospitality.

References

- [1] D. E. Apushkinskaya, A. I. Nazarov, *A survey of results on nonlinear Venttsel' problems*, Application of mathematics, **45**, 1, (2000), 69-80.

- [2] D. E. Apushkinskaya, A. I. Nazarov, *Linear two-phase Venttsel' problems*, Ark. Mat., **39**, 2, (2001), 201-222.
- [3] W. Arendt, G. Metafune, D. Pallara, S. Romanelli, *The Laplacian with Wentzell-Robin Boundary Conditions on Spaces of Continuous Functions*, Semigroup Forum, **67**, (2003), 247-261.
- [4] F. Brezzi, G. Gilardi, *Fundamentals of PDE for numerical analysis*, in: Finite Element Handbook, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1987.
- [5] M. Cefalo, G. Dell'Acqua, M. R. Lancia, *Numerical approximation of transmission problems across Koch-type highly conductive layers*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, **218**, (2012), 5453-5473.
- [6] M. Cefalo, M. R. Lancia, H. Liang, *Heat-flow problems across fractals mixtures: regularity results of the solutions and numerical approximations*, Differential and Integral Equations, Vol. 26, Numbers 9-10, (2013), 1027-1054.
- [7] G. Goldstein Ruiz, *Derivation and physical interpretation of general boundary conditions*, Adv. Differential Equations, 11, (2006), 4, 457-480.
- [8] G. Hardy, J. Littlewood, G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1952.
- [9] V. A. Kondrat'ev, *Boundary-value problems for elliptic equations in domains with conical or angular point*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., **16**, (1967), 209-292.
- [10] M. R. Lancia, V. Regis Durante, P. Vernole, *Asymptotics for Venttsel' problems for operators in non divergence form in irregular domains*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S, **9**, (2016), no. 5, 1493-1520.
- [11] M. R. Lancia, A. Vélez-Santiago, P. Vernole, *Quasi-linear Venttsel' problems with nonlocal boundary conditions*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., **35**, (2017), 265-291.
- [12] M. R. Lancia, P. Vernole, *Venttsel' problems in fractal domains*, Journal of Evolution Equations, Vol. 14, Issue 3, (2014), 681-712.
- [13] V. G. Maz'ya, *Sobolev Spaces with Applications to Elliptic Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, 2011.
- [14] A. I. Nazarov, *On the nonstationary two-phase Venttsel problem in the transversal case*, Problems in mathematical analysis, J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.), **122**, (2004), no. 3, 3251-3264.
- [15] S. A. Nazarov, B. A. Plamenevsky, *Elliptic Problems in Domains with Piecewise Smooth Boundaries*, de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1994.

- [16] J. Necas, *Les methodes directes en theorie des equationes elliptiques*, Masson, 1967.
- [17] A. Vélez-Santiago, *Quasi-linear variable exponent boundary value problems with Wentzell-Robin and Wentzell boundary conditions*, J. Functional Analysis, **266**, (2014), 560-615.
- [18] A. Vélez-Santiago, *Global regularity for a class of quasi-linear local and nonlocal elliptic equations on extension domains*, J. Functional Analysis, **269**, (2015), 1-46.
- [19] A. D. Venttsel', *On boundary conditions for multidimensional diffusion processes*, Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen., 4, (1959), 172-185; English translation, Theor. Probability Appl., 4, (1959), 164-177.
- [20] M. Warma, *An ultracontractivity property for semigroups generated by the p -Laplacian with nonlinear Wentzell-Robin boundary conditions*, Adv. Differential Equations, 14, (2009), no. 7-8, 771-800.
- [21] M. Warma, *The p -Laplace operator with the nonlocal Robin boundary conditions on arbitrary open sets*, Annali Math. Pura Appl., 193, (2014), 771-800.